# Study of the Preparation and Catalytic Performance of Molybdenum Carbide Catalysts Prepared with  $C_2H_2/H_2$  Carburizing Mixture

Tiancun Xiao, Haitao Wang, Jianwen Da, Karl S. Coleman, and Malcolm L. H. Green<sup>1</sup>

*The Wolfson Catalysis Centre, Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QR, United Kingdom*

Received March 11, 2002; revised May 29, 2002; accepted May 29, 2002

**A series of molybdenum carbide catalysts were prepared using 10% C2H2/H2 to carburize molybdenum trioxide at different temperatures. Their catalytic performance was evaluated using methane partial oxidation to synthesis gas as the model reaction. The structure of the catalysts treated under various conditions was characterized using X-ray diffraction, laser Raman spectroscopy, and scanning electron microscopy. The results show that MoO3 is first converted into MoO2 and MoC1**−*x***. With the rise of the** carburization temperature, MoO<sub>2</sub> is converted into MoC<sub>1−*x*</sub> and **the catalyst crystallites tend to be very small. However, the cata**lyst surface remains coated by MoO<sub>3</sub> or MoO<sub>2</sub>. When the carbides are heated in N<sub>2</sub> to 850 $\degree$ C, they are converted into MoC<sub>1−*x*</sub> and  $\beta$ -Mo<sub>2</sub>C. Interestingly, all the catalyst samples show high CH<sub>4</sub> **conversion and CO selectivity in partial oxidation of methane, although the pretreatment has some effect on the preliminary activity and selectivity. The catalyst is partly oxidized and there is a small amount of carbon deposition after the activity test. Acetylene is therefore a good carburizing agent for preparing molybdenum carbide catalyst at low temperature for the partial oxidation of methane.**  $\odot$  2002 Elsevier Science (USA)

*Key Words:* **molybdenum carbide catalysts; preparation using acetylene; partial oxidation of methane.**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Since 1973, when Levy and Boudart reported that WC displayed reactivity similar to Pt for neopentane isomerization (1), there has been considerable interest in the catalytic properties of metal carbides, particularly of the group VI transition metals, for a variety of reactions (2, 3). The main problem with using metal carbides as catalysts has been the difficulty in obtaining materials with sufficiently high surface area for catalytic applications. To overcome this difficulty, efforts have been focused on ways to prepare high-surface-area carbide catalysts, among which the method of temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) using hydrocarbon is most widely used

(4–11). Catalysts prepared in this way have a surface area as large as 200  $\mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{g}$ . These carbide catalysts have shown promise for a number of reactions, including hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) and hydrodesulfurization (HDS) (12– 15), hydrocarbon isomerization (16–20), CO hydrogenation, and Fischer–Tropsch synthesis (21–23). Recently, it has also been demonstrated that carbide catalysts show extremely high activity in methane partial oxidation to synthesis gas (syngas) (24). It has also been shown that the different structural types of molybdenum carbides have different performances in a range of chemical reactions. For example, the metastable  $\alpha$ -phase of molybdenum carbide,  $\alpha$ -MoC<sub>1-x</sub>, whose structure is face-centerd cubic (fcc), possesses catalytic performance properties which differ from those of stable hexagonal close packed (hcp)  $\beta$ -phases in the hydrogenolysis of ethane (25). The detailed properties of the molybdenum carbides with different structures were summarized by Oyama, and it is pointed out that the structures of the carbides are determined by two intimately connected factors, geometry and electronics (26).

In the carbide catalyst preparation using the TPR method with hydrocarbon, a range of carburizing agents are available. It has been shown that the choice of hydrocarbon has a reasonable effect on the catalytic performance of the catalyst (27–29). Using a hydrocarbon with a high carbon number decreases the phase transformation temperature from molybdenum oxide to carbide. To date, most of the work has focused on the use of mixtures of hydrogen and methane or ethane as carburizing agents. These gas mixtures are relatively unreactive and require the use of high temperatures to effect carburization. The carbide products are limited to high-temperature phases only. There have been some reports of the use of higher alkanes as carbon sources, and generally these show greater reactivity as carburizing agents than  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_6$ . Butane and ethylene have been used to prepare carbide catalysts (27, 29), but no work has been reported on the use of acetylene for carbide preparation. Herein, we report the preparation of series of molybdenum carbide catalysts using acetylene and their catalytic performance for methane partial oxidation.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: +44-1865-272690. E-mail: malcolm.green@chem.ox.ac.uk.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

The catalyst preparation was carried out as follows. About  $0.8 \text{ g } \text{MoO}_3$  (Alfa, 99.995%) was loaded in a 9-mm (o.d.) silica tube held with silica wool at the two ends. A flow of 100 ml/min of 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  (vol) was passed over the MoO<sub>3</sub>, and the temperature was raised by  $1°$ C/min to different target temperatures and held for 4 h. The volume ratio of  $C_2H_2$  to  $H_2$  was chosen so the exposure of the  $MoO<sub>3</sub>$  to carbon was equivalent to 20% CH<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>, which is known to afford the highest surface area in molybdenum carbide catalysts. Afterward the reactor was quenched to room temperature under flowing argon by removing the tube from the furnace. Before exposure to air, the samples were passivated in flowing 1 vol%  $O_2/Ar$  (20 ml/ min). The samples were carburized to final temperatures of 450, 500, 550, and 630◦C. The resultant carbides are denoted as MoC-450, MoC-500, MoC-550, and MoC-630, respectively.

The crystalline structure of the materials was measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Philips PW1710 diffractometer with Cu *K*α radiation. A chosen amount of sample was loaded in a glass or aluminum sample holder, and the XRD pattern was recorded under ambient atmosphere. Occasionally an aluminum sample holder was used as an internal standard. The morphology of the passivated samples was observed with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on a Hitachi S-520 microscope operated at 20 kV and 40 mA.

Raman spectra were recorded with a resolution of  $2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ using an Yvon Jobin Labram spectrometer with an  $Ar^+$ laser (514.5 nm) running on a back-scattered confocal arrangement. The samples were pressed on a microscope slide and the scanning time was set to 60 s. The scanning range was 90 to 2500 cm−1. The catalyst surface areas were determined from  $N_2$  BET isotherms on a Sorptomatic 1990. The carbon and nitrogen contents of the samples were measured with a CHN analyzer in the Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford.

The catalytic partial oxidation of methane to syngas was carried out by continuously passing a gaseous feed containing methane (>99.95%) and air ( $CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>$ , ratio: 2.03) over the catalyst (0.2 g) packed in a 9-mm (o.d., i.d. 6 mm) quartz reactor kept in a tubular furnace (i.d. 25 mm). The reactor was heated under flowing Ar or CH<sub>4</sub> to 860 $\degree$ C, a well-mixed reactant ( $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and air) was conducted to the reactor bed at 860◦C, and the pressure was raised to 5 bar. The reaction temperature was controlled to within 5◦C. The gaseous products were passed to the GC-MS through a heated pipeline and analyzed by an online gas chromatograph using a spherocarb column and detected with TCD and FID. CH4 conversion for the reaction refers to the ratio of converted  $CH_4$  to the feeding  $CH_4$ . During the reaction,  $CO$  and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  were obtained as the main carbon oxide products. Selectivity to CO refers to the ratio of CO yield in the product to the amount of converted CH4.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## *Characterization of the As-Prepared Molybdenum Carbides*

The XRD patterns of the as-prepared molybdenum carbides are shown in Fig. 1. Three main peaks at 26.0, 37.0, and 53.4◦ were seen in the XRD pattern of MoC-450, which are characteristic of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  (30); no peaks due to molybdenum carbide were seen (31). This suggests that  $C_2H_2$  is not able to reduce  $MoO<sub>3</sub>$  to carbide at 450°C. The formed  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  resulted from the hydrogen reduction of  $MoO<sub>3</sub>(27)$ . The sample color changed from light green to black after attempted carburization at 450◦C. However, no carbon was detected by XRD, suggesting that the carbon deposit is highly dispersed in the sample or amorphous.

When the carburization temperature was raised to 500◦C, the amount of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  present decreased rapidly; however, no peaks in the XRD corresponding to molybdenum carbide were observed, suggesting that carburization of  $MoO<sub>3</sub>$ with 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  to 500°C does not afford carbide. In the XRD patterns of MoC-550 and MoC-630, the peaks due to  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  were no longer observed, and new peaks at 37.0, 42.0, and 62.3◦ were present, which can be assigned to the diffraction of MoC1−*<sup>x</sup>* (32). This also shows that carburization of MoO<sub>3</sub> with 20%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  may be a new method to produce MoC1−*<sup>x</sup>* . In the XRD pattern of MoC-630, besides the peaks of  $MoC_{1-x}$ , relatively sharp peaks at 38.4 and 44.6◦ were observed, which correspond to the diffraction of aluminum sample badge. These results therefore suggest that during the carburization of MoO<sub>3</sub> with 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$ the oxide is first reduced to  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  by  $H<sub>2</sub>$ , which is then converted to MoC1−*<sup>x</sup>* . Comparison of the XRD patterns of carburized MoO<sub>3</sub> using 10% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>, 10% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> (26), and 5%  $C_4H_{10}/H_2$  (27) suggests that the sample prepared using 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  has the weakest diffraction peak, while that prepared using  $10\% \text{ C}_2\text{H}_6/\text{H}_2$  has the strongest diffraction



**FIG. 1.** XRD patterns of molybdenum oxide carburized with 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  to different temperatures: (a) 450, (b) 500, (c) 550, and (d) 630 $\degree$ C.



**FIG. 2.** LRS of the molybdenum carbides prepared by carburizing MoO<sub>3</sub> with 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  to different temperatures: (a) 450°C point 1, (b) 450◦C point 2, (c) 500, (d) 550, and (e) 630◦C.

peaks. This indicates that carburization with  $C_2H_6/H_2$  gives molybdenum carbide with the largest crystallites, whereas carburization with  $C_2H_2/H_2$  produces molybdenum carbide with small crystallites. This may be related to the stability of the carburizing agent.

The laser Raman spectra (LRS) of the acetylenecarburized samples are shown in Fig. 2. Because the spectrometer enables us to do microanalysis, the Raman spectra were recorded at different points of the sample. As a consequence, the surface of MoC-450 was known not to be uniform. At one point, peaks at 1338 and 1597 cm<sup>-1</sup> were observed on the catalyst surface. The band at 1338 cm<sup>-1</sup> is attributed to the vibration of disorder-induced carbon and is called the D line, whereas the peak at  $1597 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is related to the crystallized carbon, known as the G line. (32, 33) At this sample point, the two peaks are the main peaks, and almost no peaks due to molybdenum oxides are seen, suggesting at this point the surface is completely covered by a carbon deposit (Fig. 2, line a). However, another point in the sample shows Raman peaks at 993, 817, 661, 329, and  $281 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2, line b), which can be assigned to MoO<sub>2</sub> in the sample. In combination with the XRD results, this suggests that the bulk and surface of MoC-450 are composed of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$ , although some carbon deposit covers the surface. The carbon deposit may arise from the thermal decomposition of  $C_2H_2$  over the catalyst. The surface of MoC-500, -550, and -630, however, is uniform, with the LRS at different points in the sample all the same as shown in Fig. 2, lines c, d, and e. No carbon deposit is present on the catalyst surface, and the peaks attributed to  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  become increasingly intense with the increase in carburization temperature. In MoC-500, because the main phase is  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$ , the surface gives a Raman spectrum of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  as expected. Mo $O<sub>2</sub>$  was also detected in the Raman spectra of MoC-550 and -630, which may be a result of the passivation step after the carburization was complete.

The composition and surface areas of the prepared samples are given in Table 1. The carbon contents in MoC-450, -500, -550, and -630 are 8.72, 4.89, 5.69, and 8.55 wt% respectively. MoC-450 has the highest carbon content, and although this is present in the form of carbon deposit due to the thermal decomposition of  $C_2H_2$ , no molybdenum carbide was formed. The lower carbon content in MoC-500 may result from the hydrogenation of the carbon deposit over  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  at higher temperature. With the rise of carburization temperature to 550 and 630◦C, the carbon content increases again, which is due to the formation of molybdenum carbide because carbide formation is faster than carbon deposition.

The surface areas of MoC-450, -500, -550, and -630 are 18.6, 22.4, 26.6, and 36.2 m<sup>2</sup>/g, respectively. The surface area increases with increase of the carburization temperature and the formation of carbide. The morphologies of the molybdenum carbides prepared with acetylene were studied by SEM, the results of which are shown in Fig. 3. It is interesting that all the materials have similar crystal shapes. They are all rectangular, although there is a significant difference of particle size. The particle size of MoC-450 is about 10  $\mu$ m, and there are fewer smaller particles of MoC-450. The particles become smaller in MoC-500, and the particles range from 3 to 10  $\mu$ m. In MoC-550, the particles become smaller still and are thinner with some needle-like particles also present. In MoC-630, the molybdenum carbide particles are only 6  $\mu$ m long, and more square in shape.

Composition and Surface Area of Molybdenum Carbide Prepared Using $10\%$ C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> and Treated under Different Conditions												
	$MoC-450$			$MoC-500$			$MoC-550$			$MoC-630$		
Catalyst	As prepared	Treated with $N_2$	After <b>POM</b> reaction	As prepared	Treated	After <b>POM</b>	As with $N_2$ reaction prepared	Treated	After <b>POM</b> with $N_2$ reaction	As prepared	Treated with $N_2$	After <b>POM</b> reaction
Carbon content $(wt\%)$ Nitrogen content $(\%)$ Surface area $m^2/g$	8.72 n.d. 18.6	4.35 0.36 20.4	5.49 n.d. 23.5	4.89 n.d. 22.4	4.79 0.41 23.2	6.74 n.d. 19.8	5.69 n.d. 26.6	3.98 0.32 23.1	5.65 n.d. 25.2	8.55 n.d. 36.2	4.01 0.25 25.1	6.55 n.d. 23.1

**TABLE 1**



**FIG. 3.** SEMs of the molybdenum carbide carburized using 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  to (a) 450, (b) 500, (c) 550, and (d) 630 $°C$ .

The carburized samples have a morphology similar to that of their parent oxide, suggesting that the carburization of  $MoO<sub>3</sub>$  with acetylene is a topotactic process (11). This process is similar to the carburization with ethane or butane (27), but different from the carburization using methane. These results are in keeping with the increase in surface area as the carburization temperature is increased.

## *Thermal Treatment of the Molybdenum Carbide under N2*

It was shown in the preceding section that there is carbon deposit present in the carbide prepared with acetylene. To study the structural changes of the carbide catalysts and the pretreatment effect on the catalyst performance, the as-prepared molybdenum carbides (after passivation and exposure to air for 1 day) were heated to 850◦C under flowing nitrogen, and then cooled down to room temperature and passivated with  $1\%$  O<sub>2</sub>/Ar. The XRD patterns of the treated samples are shown in Fig. 4. The  $N_2$ -treated MoC-450 has diffraction peaks at 25.8, 34.7, 37.6, 39.8, and 43.2◦ respectively, among which the peaks at 25.8 and 37.6◦ are assigned to the diffraction of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  in the sample (30), and the new peaks at 34.7 and 39.8◦ are assigned to the diffraction of Mo<sub>2</sub>C (31). The peaks at 37.6 and 43.2 $\degree$  are attributed to the diffraction of MoC<sub>1−*x*</sub> (34). This suggests that the thermal treatment of MoC-450 in  $N_2$  causes the carbon de-



FIG. 4. XRD patterns of MoC prepared by carburizing MoO<sub>3</sub> with 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  at (a) 450, (b) 500, (c) 550, and (d) 630°C. The carbides were then heated to 850°C at 5°C/min under N<sub>2</sub> for 2 h.

posit and MoO2, which are present in the sample, to react together to form Mo2C and MoC1−*<sup>x</sup>* carbides. In the XRD pattern of MoC-500 after  $N_2$  thermal treatment, the peaks corresponding to  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  that were originally present have disappeared, and only peaks at 34.7, 37.6, and 39.8 $^{\circ}$  are seen, which are attributed to the XRD of  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$ . The XRD patterns of MoC-550 and MoC-630 after  $N_2$  thermal treatment at  $850^{\circ}$ C are similar to that of N<sub>2</sub>-treated MoC-500. This reveals that  $N_2$  thermal treatment causes MoC-500, -550, and -630 to transform from fcc to hcp carbides. In all the samples, no molybdenum nitride was detected.

The laser Raman spectra of the thermally treated samples under  $N_2$  are shown in Fig. 5. In the thermally treated MoC-450, the peaks at point 1 appear at 838 and 790 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which are assigned to the vibration of Mo–O. At point 2, main Raman peaks were seen at 993 and 817 cm<sup>-1</sup> and



FIG. 5. LRS of MoC prepared by carburizing MoO<sub>3</sub> with 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  at (a) 450, (b) 500, (c) 550, and (d) 630 $\degree$ C. The carbides were then heated to 850°C at 5°C/min under N<sub>2</sub> for 2 h.

were assigned to the vibration of Mo–O in polycrystalline MoO2. This suggests that the surface of molybdenum oxide is present in different states after thermal treatment under nitrogen. This could be due to the trace oxygen passivation or the incomplete reaction of some  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  with carbon in the as-prepared sample. The surface states of MoC-500 were changed by the thermal treatment under  $N_2$ , although the surface was still dominated by the molybdenum oxide states. At point 1 in MoC-500, the sample surface shows Raman peaks at 993, 849, 817, and 776 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which are characteristic of polycrystalline  $MoO<sub>3</sub>$  (35). However, the peaks at 993 and 817 cm<sup>-1</sup>, attributed to MoO<sub>2</sub>, are observed at point 2. The Raman bands in different positions on sample MoC-550 and -630 after thermal treatment under  $N_2$  are similar to the as-prepared sample. These results are in agreement with the XRD results and show that some of the  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  present in MoC-450 and -500 reacts with the carbon deposit to form molybdenum carbide. The lack of uniformity in the sample surface may be a consequence of carbon deposit being unevenly distributed across the sample.

The SEMs of the molybdenum carbide after thermal treatment under  $N_2$  are shown in Fig. 6. There is a signifi-



**FIG. 6.** SEMs of molybdenum carbide prepared by carburizing MoO<sub>3</sub> with  $10\%$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> at (a) 450, (b) 500, (c) 550, and (d) 630°C. The carbides were then heated to 850°C at 5°C/min under N<sub>2</sub> for 2 h.

cant variation in the particle size in the treated MoC-450, although the particles remain rectangular in shape. This suggests that the phase transformation of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  to carbide is a topotactic process. The morphology of MoC-500 after thermal treatment under  $N_2$  similarly shows that some thinner rectangular crystals are present. Compared with the untreated sample, once again, the thinner crystals may be a result of the reaction of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  with carbon deposit resulting in formation of Mo<sub>2</sub>C. The thermal treatment under  $N_2$ also caused some of the MoC-550 and -630 particles to split into smaller crystals, although some large crystals were still present. However, all the crystals in the materials after thermal treatment under  $N_2$  are rectangular. The small changes in morphology of the crystals are consistent with the change in carbide form, which is in agreement with XRD.

The composition and surface areas of the molybdenum carbides prepared with acetylene after thermal treatment under  $N_2$  are shown in Table 1. The surface areas of the treated MoC-450 and -500 are increased slightly, but for MoC-550 and -630, the thermal treatment with  $N_2$  causes the surface area to decrease. This may be due to the conversion of the main phase of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  in the as-prepared sample into carbide upon thermal treatment of MoC-450 and -500. However, in MoC-550 and -630, the thermal treatment with  $N_2$  results in the formation of large particles, which may explain the decrease in surface area. The carbon content in the samples after thermal treatment under  $N_2$  decreased and there was a trace quantity of nitrogen detected, suggestsing a small amount of nitride was formed.

# *Catalytic Performance of the Molybdenum Carbides for Partial Oxidation of Methane*

It has been shown that carbide catalyst is active for methane partial oxidation to syngas, and that the active phase is the lattice carbon in the molybdenum carbide (24, 36). Hence it is expected that passivation leading to the presence of surface oxygen is not beneficial to the catalyst performance and that pretreatment is needed to remove the surface oxygen and activate the passivated catalyst. It has been shown that all the forms of molybdenum carbide are converted into Mo<sub>2</sub>C when the temperature is above  $750^{\circ}$ C (37) because molybdenum carbide catalysts are active and become stable only above 860◦C and 4 bar for the partial oxidation of methane. It is believed that  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$  is the active component for the partial oxidation of methane to syngas. In the activity test in this study, the catalysts were treated under different conditions and the effect of pretreatment on the catalyst performance was studied.

The catalytic activities of the molybdenum carbide catalysts for methane partial oxidation to syngas were evaluated under different conditions; the results are shown in Figs. 7a–7e. To study the effect of pretreatment on the catalytic performance, samples of MoC-450 were heated to 860 $\degree$ C under N<sub>2</sub> and separately under CH<sub>4</sub>. Then a mixture



**FIG. 7** (a) CH4 conversion and product distribution in methane partial oxidation to syngas over 0.2 g MoC-450. The catalyst was heated under flowing  $N_2$  to 860°C and switched to the mixture of CH<sub>4</sub> and air. (b) CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and product distribution in methane partial oxidation to syngas over 0.2 g MoC-450. The catalyst was heated under flowing CH<sub>4</sub> to 860°C and switched to the mixture of CH<sub>4</sub> and air. (c) CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and product distribution in methane partial oxidation to syngas over 0.2 g MoC-500. The catalyst was heated under flowing N<sub>2</sub> to 860°C and switched to the mixture of CH<sub>4</sub> and air. (d) CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and product distribution in methane partial oxidation to syngas over 0.2 g MoC-550. The catalyst was heated under flowing N<sub>2</sub> to 860°C and switched to the mixture of CH<sub>4</sub> and air. (e) CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and product distribution in methane partial oxidation to syngas over 0.2 g MoC-630. The catalyst was heated under flowing N<sub>2</sub> to 860<sup>°</sup>C and switched to the mixture of CH<sub>4</sub> and air. All reactions were carried out at 860 $\degree$ C and 5 bar. CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> ratio: 2.03.

of methane and air ( $CH_4/O_2 = 2.03$ ) was passed over the catalyst and the catalyst performance was tested. The initial methane conversion was 80%, CO selectivity was 92%, and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  selectivity was very low at 8%. However, after 3 h onstream, CH<sub>4</sub> conversion dropped to 56%, and CO selectivity to 73%, but  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  selectivity increased to 31%, while the H2/CO ratio was only 1.3 (Fig. 7a). These results suggest that MoC-450 preheated under  $N_2$  is not stable for partial

oxidation of methane (POM) to synthesis gas. This can be explained by the small amount of  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$  present compared with  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$ , which is not active in POM (24).

When MoC-450 was heated under flowing CH<sub>4</sub> to  $860^{\circ}$ C and evaluated for the reaction of methane partial oxidation to syngas, contrary to the performance of MoC-450 activated using  $N_2$ , CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and CO selectivity over MoC-450 activated using CH<sub>4</sub> are 82 and 93%, respectively,

with a  $H_2/CO$  ratio at around 2 (Fig. 7b). The catalyst shows an increased stability and higher selectivity. This may be due to the activation of the catalyst using  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ , which helps to convert the  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  into  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$ .

For MoC-500 preheated under flowing  $N_2$ , initial CH<sub>4</sub> conversion over the catalyst is 72%, selectivity to CO is 74%, and the  $H_2/CO$  ratio is around 2.2 (Fig. 7c). The excess of hydrogen may result from methane decomposition or the carburization of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  with CH<sub>4</sub>. With increasing time on stream, the  $H<sub>2</sub>/CO$  ratio decreases, and the conversion of  $CH_4$  and selectivity to CO increase. However, during an activity test over 5 days, a significant variation was observed, particularly in the  $H_2/CO$  ratio, and the  $CH_4$ conversion and CO selectivity failed to reach the thermodynamic equilibrium values.

For the catalysts MoC-550 and -630 pretreated with  $N_2$ to 860◦C, CH4 conversion and product distribution are all around the thermodynamic values in the methane partial oxidation to syngas (Figs. 7d and 7f). The catalyst performances were also found to be stable over 20 h. This result is in agreement with the main phase present being  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$ (XRD results), which is the active component for the catalytic reaction.

The XRD patterns of the spent catalysts from partial oxidation of methane are shown in Fig. 8. After POM reaction, the main dominant phase of MoC-450 is  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$ . After MoC-450 was activated with CH<sub>4</sub> to 860 $^{\circ}$ C and tested for POM reaction,  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  and carbon deposition, along with  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$ , are present in the catalyst. This suggests that the dominant phase in MoC-450 activated with  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  is carbide. This may be the reason for the high activity and selectivity of the catalyst in the reaction. The spent MoC-500 activated with  $N_2$ is composed of  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$  and  $Mo<sub>2</sub>$ , and the amount of  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$ is far greater than  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$ . However, carbon deposition also



**FIG. 8.** XRD pattern of spent MoC catalysts for methane partial oxidation to syngas: (a) MoC-450 activated using  $N_2$  to 860°C, (b) MoC-450 activated using CH<sub>4</sub> to 860°C, (c) MoC-500 activated using N<sub>2</sub> to 860°C, (d) MoC-550 activated using  $N_2$  to 860 $°C$ , and (e) MoC-630 activated using  $N_2$  to 860 $°C$ .



**FIG. 9.** Laser Raman spectra of MoC prepared using  $10\% \text{ C}_2\text{H}_2/\text{H}_2$ and after activity test for partial oxidation of methane at 860◦C and 5 bar; (a) MoC-450 point 1, (b) MoC-450 point 2, (c) MoC-500 point 1, (d) MoC-500 point 2, (e) MoC-550 point 1, (f) MoC-550 point 2, (g) MoC-630 point 1, and (h) MoC-630 point 2.

occurred over the catalyst; the carbon deposit is due to the long reaction period. In the XRD pattern of spent MoC-550 activated with  $N_2$ , the dominant phase is  $Mo_2C$ ; however, a small peak at  $26°$  is seen, which corresponds to  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$ , suggesting that there is a small amount of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  present in the catalyst. In the spent MoC-630 activated with  $N_2$ , only peaks due to  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$  are seen, suggesting that MoC-630 is more stable than the other catalysts.

The Raman spectra of the spent catalysts after partial oxidation of methane reaction are given in Fig. 9. After reaction,  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $MoO<sub>3</sub>$  were produced over MoC-450 activated under  $N_2$ . The difference in peak intensity of 816 and 849 cm<sup>-1</sup> suggests different amounts of MoO<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>2</sub> over the catalysts. Although the XRD data for the spent  $MoC-450$  activated under  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  show the bulk structure to be predominantly  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$ , the surface is still predominantly oxide. The surface oxide may arise from the reaction or during the passivation. When LRS was used to examine different areas of the postreaction samples of MoC-500, it was found that  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  was present but there were some other areas where only carbon could be detected (38). In the Raman spectra of spent MoC-550, the Raman peaks corresponding to  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $MoO<sub>3</sub>$  appeared, and there was little difference between other positions on the sample, suggesting that the surface was mainly covered by molybdenum oxide. Peaks due to carbon deposition were very weak. The Raman spectra of the spent MoC-630 at different points on the surface were almost the same; only peaks due to  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$ were observed in this catalyst.

The SEMs of the four spent catalysts in Fig. 10 show that the particles in MoC-450 cracked and had more irregularities after the activity test. In the samples, some small particles  $\left($  <1  $\mu$ m) are also present. In the spent MoC-550, smaller particles are seen, and the particle shape



**FIG. 10.** SEMs of spent molybdenum carbide catalyst for methane partial oxidation prepared using  $10\%$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> at (a) 450, (b) 500, (c) 550, and (d) 630 $°C$ . The carbides were then heated to 850 $°C$ at  $5^{\circ}$ C/min under N<sub>2</sub> for 2 h.

is more irregular than the thermally treated sample under  $N_2$  (Fig. 6b). No significant difference is present between the crystal morphologies of the thermally treated MoC-550 and spent MoC-550. However, in spent MoC-550, there are some very small particles, which may, be carbon deposits or a new form of molybdenum carbide. The morphology of spent MoC-630 differs greatly from the carbide after thermal treatment under  $N_2$ ; the particles are irregular and change from rectangular to square with some very fine particles present, which are probably carbon deposit.

The surface area of spent MoC-450 increases to  $23.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , but the other spent catalysts have a lower surface area than their as-prepared samples. This may be due to the sintering or the oxidation of the catalyst during the reaction. The carbon content in the spent catalysts decreases in MoC-450, -550, and -630, which may be due to the reaction of the carbon deposit with oxygen. In MoC-500, the long catalyst run time may cause more coke to deposit on the catalyst, increasing the carbon content to 6.74 wt%.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Using 10%  $C_2H_2/H_2$  as the carburizing agent, MoO<sub>3</sub> begins to convert into carbide when the carburization temperature is above 500 $^{\circ}$ C. Below this temperature, MoO<sub>3</sub> is converted into  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  while the acetylene decomposes into carbon deposit. Carburization of  $MoO<sub>3</sub>$  into carbide is believed to be a topotactic process, because the morphology of the carbide is very similar to that of its parent oxide.  $C_2H_2$  is therefore a potential agent for the preparation of molybdenum carbide at low temperatures.

Thermal treatment of the as-prepared samples under inert atmosphere causes the carbon deposit to react with  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  and form the carbide. The molybdenum carbide with fcc structure is converted into  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$  with hcp structure, while the particle morphology is not changed significantly. The surface of the thermally treated carbide is covered by  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $MoO<sub>3</sub>$ .

Pretreatment has a significant effect on the catalytic performance of the carbide catalysts prepared using acetylene. MoC-450 activated under  $N_2$  has a poor performance in POM to syngas, presumably because the main phase in the catalyst is  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$ . When activated under CH<sub>4</sub>, MoC-450 has a stable activity and selectivity for methane partial oxidation. The molybdenum carbides prepared using acetylene above 500◦C show good performance in methane partial oxidation to syngas regardless of the activation methods.

In MoC-450 activated with  $CH_4$  and MoC-550 and -630, the spent catalyst has hcp structure with  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$ , the main component, while the main component of MoC-450 and -500 activated with  $N_2$  was found to be MoO<sub>2</sub>.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank CANMET for supporting for this work. K.S.C. thanks the Royal Society for a University Research Fellowship.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Levy, R. B., and Boudart, M., *Science* **181**, 547 (1973).
- 2. Leclercq, L., *NATO AS1 Ser., Ser. C* **105**, 433 (1983).
- 3. Oyama, S. T., and Haller, G. L., *Catalysis (London)* **3**, 333 (1982).
- 4. Volpe, L., and Boudart, M., *J. Solid State Chem.* **59**, 348 (1985).
- 5. Teixeira da Silva, V. L. S., Schmal, M., Schwartz, V., and Oyama, S. T., *J. Mater. Res*. **13**, 1977 (1998).
- 6. Lee, J. S., Oyama, S. T., and Boudart, M., *J. Catal.* **106**, 125 (1987).
- 7. Lee, J. S., Volpe, L., Ribeiro, F. H., and Boudart, M., *J. Catal.* **112**, 44 (1988).
- 8. Ledoux, M. J., and Pham-Huu, C., *Catal. Today* **15**, 263 (1992).
- 9. Oyama, S. T., Schlatter, J. C., Metcalfe, J. E., III, and Lambert, J. M., Jr., *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **27**, 1639 (1988).
- 10. Gao, L., and Seegopaul, P., U.S. Patent 6,207,609 (2001).
- 11. Bouchy, C., Derouane-Abd, H., Sharifah, B., and Derouane, E. G., *Chem. Commun.* (*Cambridge*) **2**, 125 (2000).
- 12. Schlatter, J. C., Oyama, S. T., Metcalfe, J. E., III, and Lambert, J. M., Jr., *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **27**, 1648 (1988).
- 13. Lee, J. S., and Boudart, M., *Appl. Catal.* **19**, 207 (1985).
- 14. Abe, H., and Bell, A. T., *Catal. Lett*. **18**, 1 (1993).
- 15. Choi, J.-G., Brenner, J. R., and Thompson, L. T., *J. Catal*. **154**, 33 (1995).
- 16. Bouchy, C., Pham-Huu, C., Heinrich, B., Chaumont, C., and Ledoux, M. J., *J. Catal.* **190**, 92 (2000).
- 17. Neylon, M. K., Choi, S., Kwon, H., Curry, K. E., and Thompson, L. T., *Appl. Catal. A* **183**, 253 (1999).
- 18. Iglesia, E., Ribeiro, F. H., Boudart, M., and Baumgartner, J. E., *Catal. Today* **15**, 455 (1992).
- 19. Pham-Huu, C., Ledoux, M. J., and Guille, J., *J. Catal.* **143**, 249 (1993).
- 20. Pham-Huu, C., York, A. P. E., Benaissa, M., Del Gallo, P., and Ledoux, M. J., *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **34**, 1107 (1995).
- 21. Park, K. Y., Seo, W. K., and Lee, J. S., *Catal. Lett.* **11**, 349 (1991).
- 22. Leclercq, L., Almazouari, A., Dufour, M., and Leclercq, G., *in* "The Chemistry of Transition Metal Carbides and Nitrides" (S. T. Oyama, Ed.), p. 345. Blackie, Glasgow, 1996.
- 23. Woo, H. C., Park, K. Y., Kim, Y. G., Nam, I.-S., Chung, J. S., and Lee, J. S., *Appl. Catal.* **75**, 267 (1991).
- 24. Claridge, J. B., York, A. P. E., Brungs, A. J., Marquez-Alvarez, C., Sloan, J., Tsang, S. C., and Green, M. L. H., *J. Catal*. **180**, 85 (1998).
- 25. Ranhotra, G. S., Bell, A. T., and Reimer, J. A., *J. Catal.* **108**, 40 (1987).
- 26. Oyama, S. T., *Catal. Today* **15**, 179 (1992).
- 27. Xiao, T. C., York, A. P. E., Williams, V. C., Al-Megren, H., Hanif, A., Zhou, X., and Green, M. L. H., *Chem. Mater*. **12**, 3896 (2000).
- 28. Xiao, T. C., York, A. P. E., Al-Megren, H., Claridge, J. B., Wang, H. T., and Green, M. L. H., *C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. IIc: Chim*. **3**, 451 (2000).
- 29. Decker, S., Loefberg, A., Bastin, J.-M., and Frennet, A., *Catal. Lett*. **44**, 229 (1997).
- 30. Brandt, B. G., *Chem. Commun. Univ. Stockholm* 9 (1971).
- 31. Epicier, T., Dubois, J., Esnouf, C., Fantozzi, G., and Convert, P., *Acta Metall.* **36**, 1903 (1988).
- 32. Kastner, J., Pichler, T., Kazmany, H., Curran, S., Blau, W., Weldon, D. N., Delamesiere, M., Draper, S., and Zandbergen, H., *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **221**, 539 (1994).
- 33. Tang, P. H., Zhang, S. L., Yue, K. T., Huang, F. M., Shi, Z. J., Zhou, X. H., and Gu, Z. N., *J. Raman Spectrosc.* **28**, 369 (1997).
- 34. (a) Epicier, T., Dubois, J., Esnouf, C., Fantozzi, G., and Convert, P., *Acta Metall.* **36**, 1903, (1988); (b) Clougherty, E. V., Lothrop, K. H., and Kafalas, J. A., *Nature (London)* **191**, 1194 (1961).
- 35. Weckhuysen, B. M., Jehng, J.-M., and Wachs, I. E., *J. Phys. Chem. B* **104**, 7382 (2000).
- 36. York, A. P. E., Xiao, T., and Green, M. L. H., *Top. Catal.*, in press.
- 37. Hanif, A., Xiao, T., York, A. P. E., Sloan, J., and Green, M. L. H., *Chem. Mater.* **14**, 1009 (2002).
- 38. Espinat, D., Dexpert, H., Freund, E., Martino, G., Couzi, M., Lespade, P., and Cruege, F., *Appl. Catal*. **16,** 343 (1985).